

Acequia Culture: Historic Irrigated Landscapes of New Mexico

José A. Rivera ¹

Luis Pablo Martínez ²

The community acequias in the State of New Mexico are the oldest water management institutions in the United States of European origin. These irrigated agrosystems date to the time of first settlement by *españoles mexicanos* in the northern borderlands of *Nueva España* during the late sixteenth century with the first Juan de Oñate colony in 1598 and expanded after the Don Diego de Vargas *reconquista* of 1692. At the time, the frontier provinces encompassed a vast semi-arid territory rich in natural and mineral resources but short on water supply. When Spanish *conquistadores* conducted the first *entradas* into the *Río del Norte* (now the Río Grande/Río Bravo), they realized that the construction of irrigation works would be critical for the establishment of communities, whether presidios, missions, or civilian settlements of *gobiernos locales*.

Here the Rocky Mountain province of Colorado joins the great Chihuahuan desert from the south and the Llano Estacado from the plains of Texas on the east. Due to conditions of aridity, already familiar to Mediterranean dwellers, Spanish colonization policies required that officials of the crown, and settlers from the central valley of Mexico who accompanied them, must locate their communities in the vicinity of water resources essential to permanent occupation. Early exploration maps of the region designated the locations of and named not only perennial rivers, creeks and lakes, but also minute water features such as "tiny ponds, dry arroyos, muddy watering holes, and miniscule springs."¹ The irrigation technology employed by the waves of settlers was gravity flow by way of earthen canals or acequias. The *pobladores* constructed acequias in all of the present day southwestern United States: Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Arizona, and California. However, it was in *La Provincia del Nuevo México* that Spanish colonization policies were the most effective, particularly with regard to the establishment of civilian towns and agricultural colonies.

Like their Spanish counterparts, the acequia irrigators continue to function as *comunidades de regantes* or "water democracies." This means they are autonomous, and for the most part they operate outside of government in terms of their internal affairs: they elect their own officers, establish rules, enforce them, and settle water disputes. Similar to the *herederos* (proprietors) in the Spanish *huertas*, the irrigators of the New Mexico acequias all own lands irrigated by a principal canal. As a community based institution, they are in

¹ * Center for Regional Studies, University of New Mexico, Estados Unidos. jrivera@unm.edu

² Dirección General de Patrimonio Cultural Valenciano, Consellería de Cultura y Deporte, Generalitat Valenciana, España. martinez_luipab@gva.es

charge of their day-to-day governance, and collectively they maintain their irrigation works and finance repairs to their diversion structure when necessary.

Similar to the aboriginal peoples before them, the *hispano* irrigators revere water and treasure it as the lifeblood of the community, and from inception they have utilized water as the main structural factor in spatial and landscape modification. Without the aid of survey instruments or modern tools, centuries ago they engineered irrigation works superimposing *zanjas* or earthen ditches on the desert landscape all by collective human labor. The first step, as instructed by the Laws of the Indies, was to locate a bend in the river or another suitable feature to build the diversion structure from which to capture water and turn it into ditches on one or sometimes both banks of the natural watercourse.² Constructed of locally available materials such as forest timbers, brush and rocks at the diversion point, these irrigation works defined the landscape and demarked the boundaries for irrigation off the main canal and its laterals for several miles downstream extending the riparian zone beyond the narrow confines of the natural channels. These technologies of construction and irrigation methods were replicated by the successive waves of settlers into the upper reaches of the Río Grande Basin fostering the growth of agrarian communities along the Camino Real from El Paso del Norte to Santa Fe, and later the Taos Basin, the San Luis Valley of southern Colorado, and eventually the San Juan Basin to the west and tributaries of the Canadian River on the east.

These new watercourses were made from human action, but the fluidity of water followed the contours and topography of the natural landscape imposing curved trajectories that permit gravity flow to deliver water onto the irrigable parcels of land. The result is an impressive mosaic in the "*paisaje de la acequia*," a constructed artifact where water is the principal tool of landscape modification for human use and benefit, a process described more vividly as "*la domesticación del agua por la mano del hombre*." This modification produces a greenbelt extending the riparian zone of the river, creating an oasis that sustains habitats for plant biodiversity and wildlife native to the region, while recharging the aquifer and returning surplus water to a *desagüe* channel for reutilization by other stakeholders downstream. The *paisaje de la acequia*, in the context of New Mexico's agrosystem landscapes, includes the *presa*, *acequia madre*, *partidores*, *compuertas*, laterals or *sangrías* to the fields, *canoas* or aqueducts, flumes, pipes, culverts, and in some communities *ojitos* (springs), *tanques* (storage ponds), *molinos* (gristmills), and terraces. In addition, there are structures or landmarks in the built environment associated with the irrigation history of acequia settlements: fences, corrals, barns and sheds, bridges, foot paths or *caminos* along the acequia, churches, *penitente moradas* (penitent chapels), as well as homesteads of vernacular architecture.³

The acequia greenbelts of the upper Río Grande are not the same as the exotic Saharan oasis of northern Africa nor those found in the Arabian deserts of the Middle East where date palms and desert gardens flourish in juxtaposition to the sea of dunes, rocks and arid lands surrounding the oasis islands. Instead the acequias of New Mexico represent agroecosystems more typical of semi-arid environments where rainfall is sparse and human control and domestication of watercourses is essential to make crops grow by means of

irrigation, perhaps closer in similarity to the inner cold oases of Central Eurasia.⁴ As in the cold desert oasis, water in the high altitudes of northern New Mexico sustains life for human, plant and animal species alike. The acequia watercourse itself creates microclimates that humidify the landscape and temper the heat of the mid-day sun, conserve moisture in the soils for use by native and cultivated plants, and make the arid lands bloom.⁵ Within the riparian zone, the shaded oasis underneath the giant cottonwoods attracts and nourishes thickets of coyote willows along the lines of the *zanja* following the trajectory of the water in the ditch. Wild plums or *ciruelas* and *capulín* (chokecherries) also flourish serving as habitats for bird species such as the endangered southwestern willow flycatcher and the more common juncos. Alongside the ditch bank are wild asparagus plants and tree shrubs of *membrillo* or other fruit varieties. In stark contrast, the sandy dry arroyos above the acequia maintain only the hardiest of desert sagebrush plants such as the *chamisas* and cholla cactus, and still higher the juniper and piñón trees slope up into the foothills of the nearby forests.⁶

In the higher elevations at around 7,000 to 8,000 feet, from the Taos Valley in New Mexico to the expansive San Luis Valley in southern Colorado, acequia farms and *ranchos* often consist of *extensiones*, or riparian long lots. This upper region of the Río Grande is representative of high mountain snowmelt basins in other parts of the world where snowpack accumulations during the winter season contribute substantially to streamflows months later for irrigation purposes in the valley bottomlands. As compared by Peña, the topography and climate of these lands are similar to the high steppes and cold desert environments of central Asia.⁷ Rainfall precipitation in the spring and summer months is sporadic, but agrosystems are possible due to the run-off of additional precipitation as snow melt that originates in the alpine forests following seven months of winter. As land use patterns, the long lots are also akin to the upland Franco-Iberian agricultural traditions where each farmer owns a ribbon-like strip of narrow width lot with access to the natural resources in every biotic zone within a five to twenty miles distance in length. From the Taos Valley to San Luis, this biogeographical landscape described by Peña includes: piñón-juniper woodlands on the mesa tops and foothills for the gathering of fuel wood and building materials, along with dry land grass prairies for the pasturing of livestock. For the irrigation of fields in the riparian bottomlands, each parcel has a headgate to access and divert water from the local acequia system for the cultivation of row crops, orchards and gardens.⁸

The acequia watercourse remains as the most distinguishing feature of the typical village and its relationship to the surrounding landscape ecology: the force of the water shapes the edges of the varied terrain; it defines the natural and human-made boundaries in a mosaic of gradual transitions; it sets the limits to growth and allocates space for community development and the built environment; and it nourishes the plant and animal ecologic life within the spatial corridor. Increasingly, conservation biologists and other watershed scientists have been confirming the value of local knowledge and practices of acequia farmers. For example, a field study by Fernald and his associates reports that the earthen acequias perform valuable hydrologic, riparian and agroecosystem functions: seepage from the ditch bed and banks maintain wetted soil profiles that support riparian vegetation

habitats for plant and wildlife diversity; the acequias recharge shallow groundwater along the floodplain corridor and affect return flows to the river source as subsurface flows; and flood irrigation is similar to overbank flooding by providing functions that resemble those of meandering and braided channels. Plants and trees that benefit from the "ecosystem services" of acequias include sedges, rushes and perennial grasses at the ground-level, willows and alder and other shrubs at midstory, and boxelders and tall cottonwoods in the overstory. When viewed adjacent to the cropping patterns of fruit trees and irrigated fields of alfalfa and vegetable gardens nearby, the expanded riparian corridor transforms the desert into a landscape for human, livestock and wildlife uses.⁹

During the twentieth century, water reclamation projects expanded the supply of available water for distribution across the myriad of stakeholders in New Mexico, Colorado and other western States. The era of large-scale water development, meant to harvest and channel water destined for urbanizing regions or to reclaim desert lands for agricultural production, is essentially over, but population growth continues at unprecedented rates in the region, placing stress on the land and the limited sources of water in terms of both quantity and quality. Water resources are now fully appropriated and beyond--to the point that "paper water" exceeds the real or otherwise existing "wet water."

In these new times of increased water demand, coupled with the occurrence of cyclical droughts evidenced once again in the last few years, the concept of water sharing arrangements or *repartimientos* practiced by the community acequia irrigators provide examples of the sustainable use of water resources for adoption as models by other water user associations or entities. The permanency of these traditional methods, however, depends on how and if the solidarity of the irrigators can overcome the challenges of the water markets and the complex factors of accelerated development. The other stakeholders in the region believe they too have either historic claims or higher value needs for the scarce supply of water:

? Pueblo Indian Tribes claim and in fact hold aboriginal rights that are paramount and federally reserved;

? Municipalities face increased demands from growing populations at a time of reduced snowmelt and precipitation and for the first time are diverting Río Grande surface water for domestic uses such as in the metropolitan area of Albuquerque;

? The industrial sector, for example, Intel's world renowned Río Rancho plant, asserts a priority for higher use values in order to fuel the economy and increase job growth in the metropolitan area;

? Commercial agriculture is the largest consumer of surface and ground water, and thus farmers dependent on water delivery by irrigation and conservancy districts resist the transfer of water for other purposes such as urban development;

? Recreational users want to be included in regional water allocation and management decisions to insure their continued access to streams and lakes for fishing, rafting, boating, and other water-based sports; and

? Environmentalists advocate for minimum in-stream flows supplemented with water rights acquisitions for the protection of endangered fish and wildlife species such as the silvery minnow and the willow flycatcher.

Together, these very diverse and often competing values present the community acequias along the upper Río Grande and its tributaries with formidable challenges. The long term survival of the acequia culture may well depend on how the stakeholders, elected officials, policymakers and the public recognize the eco-cultural and heritage values of the acequia irrigation systems, and in particular how the acequia communities contribute to cultural tourism and economic development for the benefit of the State and all of its citizens. The campaign has already begun, as the acequia associations locally, in watershed regions, and statewide pursue strategies to increase social knowledge about the acequia culture while they also consolidate their powers as political subdivisions of the State and preserve their customary practices of local control and discretionary authority.¹⁰

ORIGINS OF IRRIGATION IN NEW MEXICO

The history of irrigation in New Mexico is rooted in the prehispanic times of the upper Río Grande. Agriculture and small-scale irrigation of farmlands by indigenous peoples existed prior to the arrival of Spanish-Mexican settlers but were based primarily on the natural cycles of floodwater farming and the control of water resources in the tributary creeks, arroyos, alluvial fans, and streams. By contrast, the irrigation practices imported by the colonizers were more widely extended and incorporated into the society as exemplified by the larger number of permanent diversions of stream flows regardless of capacity. Thus, anthropologists distinguish between the use of "water control systems" as practiced by the Indians and "irrigation" in the strict sense, amplified and intensified by the Hispanic colonists.¹¹ The systematic transformation of valley bottomlands through irrigation by these European settlers established a culture of water as the dominant feature in the traditional irrigation practices in rural New Mexico, as documented in the terminology and institutions of irrigation.

INDIGENOUS AGRICULTURAL SYSTEMS—PREHISPANIC ERA, 1500 B.C. TO 1540 A.D.

The first agriculturalists who employed water control systems were the Anasazis, a culture associated with the archeological sites at Mesa Verde (southwest Colorado) and Chaco Canyon (northwest New Mexico). Prior to 1500 B.C., the Anasazis were hunter-gatherers, but when confronted with population growth during the next millennium they needed a permanent source of food supply and in quantities sufficient for storage during times of drought. Gradually, as detailed by Vlasich, they began cultivating corn, squash and beans, transforming into horticulturalists by the first century A.D.¹² Using digging sticks, they

planted these crops on contoured terraces, grid-bordered gardens, and the canyon floors of the high desert landscape mostly as soil and water conservation strategies. Their water supply depended on natural precipitation and runoff from the mesa tops which they channeled to their small garden plots and fields by way of intricate systems of masonry check dams, canals, and diversion headgates.¹³

Despite several centuries of agricultural subsistence, the Anasazis had to contend with a series of droughts starting in 1090 A.D. that along with other factors threatened their sedentary way of life. After the peak population period of 1100-1300 A.D., they began to abandon the Four Corners region and by 1400 A.D. had relocated to approximately thirty villages along the Río Grande Valley and some of its tributaries.¹⁴ Here, the lower altitudes and the presence of more reliable sources of water made possible new settlements by the descendants of the Anasazis, the Pueblo Indians of New Mexico made up of Tewa, Tiwa and Keresan tribes. The Pueblos continued the ak-chin or floodwater farming practices of the Anasazis, but due to the availability of permanent streams, they were able to utilize a combination of dry farming and some irrigation from arroyos, creeks and minor tributaries such as the Río San José, Jemez River, Río Puerco, Zuni River, Río Pescado, and on a limited scale along the more substantial Río Chama and Río Grande.¹⁵

Prior to the *entrada* of the Spanish *conquistadores* in 1540, the Tewa, Tiwa and Keresan tribes had already developed a variety of complex agricultural strategies in some of the valleys of the upper Río Grande. Field studies indicate that these Pueblo farmers invested a large amount of time and energy in the development of extensive networks of water harvesting and control in dispersed localities. As had been the case with the Anasazis, they captured flows during rainfall events by way of check dams for the periodic flooding of fields. The Pueblo Indians also built communal systems of irrigation by diverting flows along arroyos, creeks and tributaries and channeling water by way of wide and shallow canals to cultivated fields of cotton, tobacco, melons, chiles, and large quantities of maize, beans and squash¹⁶ When the *conquistadores* encountered these ditches, they marveled at the complexity of some of these systems while noting their resemblance to Spanish irrigation canals. In his account of the Antonio de Espejo expedition of 1582-1583, for example, Luxán wrote: "We found many irrigated cornfields with canals and dams, built as if by Spaniards."¹⁷

HISPANIC AMPLIFICATION OF IRRIGATION, 1598-1821

As a point of departure from the Anasazi and Puebloan experiences, the first European farmers did not limit their settlements to areas primarily dependent on floodwater farming or other strategies of soil and water conservation. The objectives of Spanish colonization--implemented by land concessions granted to successive waves of immigrants--required the diversion of much larger quantities of water and the establishment of intensive systems of irrigated agriculture. For the plowing and cultivation of valley bottomlands, the colonists constructed diversion dams along scores of existing watercourses, minor and large, notably the works built for the domestication of irrigation water from the upper Río Grande and its western tributaries and then over the Sangre de Cristo Mountains on the east to the Río de Mora, the Gallinas River, the upper Río Pecos, and others. The survival of the settlements

depended on a strong agricultural base and economy aided by the introduction of new varieties of crops and domesticated livestock.¹⁸

The *pobladores* constructed waterworks for the diversion, channeling and distribution of water from rivers and streams: "tomas de agua," "presas," or dams, equivalents of the "azudes" known in the Iberian peninsula; "tanques" or reservoirs equivalent to the "balsas" or "albercas" of Spain; "compuertas" or headgates; "partidores" or partition structures on the bed of the ditch; "acequias madre" and "sangrías," these latter ones equivalent to the Iberian "brazales"; "desagües" or drains, equivalent to the Spanish "escorredores" or "azarbes"; and in some arroyo locations, "canoas" or aqueducts hand hewn from forest tree logs. Water circulating through the irrigation systems also permitted other uses, such as the use of acequia flows to power *molinos* or gristmills with horizontal waterwheels for flour production, a clear legacy of Iberian hydraulic culture.

Those colonists who arrived from Spanish regions that were recipients of a rich and diverse culture of water with Islamic roots, such as Andalucía, Extremadura, the Castillas, Aragón and Valencia, applied their knowledge to the development of New Mexican irrigation. As noted by Martínez, it was through the Spanish *conquistadores* and missionaries, descendants of the Christian conquerors of Islamic Al-Andalus of the Middle Ages, that the millennial culture of water of Arab, Iranian and Saharan origins reached the New World and transferred ancient irrigation technologies in water management.¹⁹ For establishment of Santa Fe, Nuevo México in 1610, the Spanish officials were also accompanied by a band of Tlaxcalteca Indians from central Mexico, themselves expert irrigators and horticulturalists who doubled as farmer soldiers in alliance with the colonizers. Here the Tlaxcaltecas quickly built "la iglesia de San Miguel" mission church, dwellings, and may also have constructed the "acequia para regadío" on the south bank of the Río de Santa Fe to irrigate fields and grow crops needed for the fledgling capital city.²⁰

In the outlying rural jurisdictions, the *acequias de común* were constructed with equal speed and deliberation by the use of *mancomunidades*, voluntary associations of land grant petitioners, extended families, and other small groups of landowners who banded together to hand build the irrigation works. Together they developed *arreglos* or informal agreements for the allocation, management and delivery of water in a manner that would be fair and equitable to all irrigators.²¹ This process became the basis of *hispano* customary water law and was replicated throughout hundreds of Spanish agricultural colonies into the nineteenth century. At each locality, members within the community of landowners, beneficiaries of royal land grants, continued to maintain their hydraulic systems through communal labor from one generation to the next, without much outside help or interference. Yearly, they collaborated in the "limpia" or cleaning of the acequia and in the repair of any damages, especially in the *presa* structure, and the cooperative work for operational decision-making and the enforcement of water laws.

By custom, the opening of the *acequia madre* signaled the start of a new irrigation season and an occasion for celebration by the entire community. More than two centuries after the construction of the first Spanish ditch at the Oñate colony in 1598, Lieutenant Zebulon Pike

of the United States Army observed this practice when he led an expedition into New Mexico in 1807. In his diary for March 7, he marveled at the communal labor and festivities associated with the spring cleaning and opening of the canal in Albuquerque, then a farming village along the banks of the Río Grande:

Both above and below Albuquerque the citizens were beginning to open the canals to let in the water of the river to fertilize the plains and fields... where we saw men, women and children of all ages and sexes at the joyful labor which was to crown with rich abundance their future harvest and insure them plenty for the ensuing year. Those scenes brought to my recollection the bright descriptions given by Savary of the opening of the canals of Egypt.²²

Participation in the maintenance and upkeep of the local ditch was proportional to the size of land area under irrigation owned by the proprietors, locally known as *parciantes*. These landowner irrigators of Hispanic New Mexico still follow the nearly universal rule that governs many common property regimes, wherein each property owner must contribute to the maintenance of the communal system in direct proportion to the benefits he or she receives. The irrigators in these systems, significantly known as "*acequias de común*" (meaning the same as "commons irrigation ditches") agree to their mutual set of rules and regulations for the management of water supplies, elect their own officials, and implement their own justice in the resolution of conflicts that result from the distribution of water (in some cases, by mediation of "*hombres buenos*" or good men in colonial times, such as those employed in the middle and lower Río Segura of Murcia and Orihuela, Spain). The Hispanic roots of the ancient "*alcaldes de aguas*" (water managers), locally known in New Mexico as "*mayordomos*," is clear, as is their kinship to the "*acequeros*" of Islamic Spain, as described by Glick.²³

NINETEENTH AND TWENTIETH CENTURIES

The nineteenth century was a period of major political change. In 1821, New Mexico became a part of an independent Republic of Mexico, only to be annexed by the United States in 1846-48 by military intervention and conquest. But these changes did not pose an immediate threat to the community acequias and their central role in agricultural production. For example, the first water laws adopted by the Territorial Assembly of New Mexico in 1851-52 under United States jurisdiction were contained in article 1, chapter 1, "Acequias," of *Leyes del Territorio de Nuevo Méjico*, published in Spanish, guaranteeing the priority of water use for irrigation and the application of existing *arreglos* or ditch rules for the operations and maintenance of the *acequias de común*:

Que ningún habitante de dicho Territorio tendrá derecho a construir finca alguna con perjuicio del regadío de las labores o siembras, como son molinos, u otras que impidan el curso de las aguas, pues el regadío de las siembras debe preferir a todos los demás....

Que todos los asociados en una acequia de común, ya sean propietarios or arrendatarios de tierras, contribuyan a trabajar según la proporción de sus labores....

Que de las acequias ya establecidas no se embaraze su curso....

*El arreglo de las acequias que ya están trabajadas quedará establecido tal como se hizo y permanece hasta hoy, y las prevenciones de este acto, serán vigentes y en observancia desde el día de su publicación.*²⁴

Until 1907, the community acequias maintained their hegemony in the control and utilization of surface waters. But in this particular year, the Territorial Assembly of New Mexico adopted a Water Code that declared surface water as a public domain, centralized the system of water administration, and diminished the sovereignty of the acequias. The Territorial Engineer took over the control of issuing permits for the diversion and use of surface waters. In 1912 the territory was granted statehood, paving the way for state and federal government intervention in the allocation and distribution of water supply through public agencies that sponsor water development projects: the U. S. Bureau of Reclamation, a federal agency, and Water Conservancy Districts, authorized in state statutes. Thus, between 1928 and 1936, the seventy-two acequias that existed within the Middle Río Grande Valley (north and south of Albuquerque), the majority of them with their own diversion structures, were reduced by the Middle Río Grande Conservancy District (MRGCD) to depend on only three large dams outside the control of the acequias. The project--justified on the grounds that it would control flooding and also result in improved irrigation for commercial and small farms alike--trampled the historic rights of the *acequias de común* and instead granted vast administrative and taxation powers to the MRGCD to include responsibility for the distribution of water and canal maintenance.²⁵

Nonetheless, the traditional, self-governed acequias continued to function in watersheds outside of the Middle Río Grande Valley. In modern times, however, they are confronted with major threats: the urbanization of acequia landscapes and pressures brought to bear on water, a limited resource, by other interests different from those of traditional irrigation. Recent decades have evidenced an increase in demand for water to support not only urban growth but also industrial uses, tourism, recreation, and the protection of endangered animal species. The value of water that is invested in agriculture cannot compete with productivity earned when water is used for some of these other purposes. In the context of growth, the *acequias de común* are viewed by competing stakeholders as strategic reserves for water transfers. The legal framework, in fact, favors the interference by stakeholders outside of local acequias. Current laws considers water, or water rights, as property that can be bought and sold in the market; at the same time, these laws do not recognize the collective rights of the communities, but instead those of the individual members who comprise it. The clash between the community value of water versus the commodity value permeates the discourse over the future of New Mexico's scarce water supply and in the case of acequias, survival of the land based culture is at risk.

THE FUTURE OF IRRIGATION COMMUNITIES IN NEW MEXICO

As a group, the acequias are united in their stand against the unfettered water markets that threaten to increase transfers to "higher economic values" in the urban and industrial

sectors. They fear that water markets, if left unchecked, will dispossess rural communities of their water resources and limit their options for local economic development as areas of origin.²⁶ Despite the gravity of the situation, there are still about one thousand community acequias in New Mexico and southern Colorado. And their resistance is not passive. The *parciantes* organize; they mobilize and protest against transfers. To sever water rights from the land is tantamount to extinguishing all life forms in the ecosystem: "*sin agua, la tierra no vale nada*" (without water, land is of no value). This conclusion helps to explain why applications to transfer water to uses outside the acequia communities are often protested with fierce intensity by the acequia irrigators. From the time of first settlement, and intrinsic to the community value of water in the contemporary period, land, place and identity are interdependent and not severable one from another.

To accomplish their goals, the communities replicate the structure of the state agencies, and they confederate in "acequia associations" at the watershed level, which permits them to negotiate on an equal footing. Currently, regional associations are active in numerous watersheds such as the Río Chama, Río Santa Cruz, Río Gallinas, Río de Mora, Río Embudo, and in the Taos Valley, among others. The organizational strategy culminates in the New Mexico Acequia Association (NMAA) that convenes annually in a *Congreso de las Acequias*, where delegates from local acequias and regional associations meet to deliberate on statewide issues. A statewide acequia association has also been organized in Colorado, the Colorado Acequia Association.²⁷

The purposes of the NMAA, and regional associations at the watershed level, include the provision of legal (lawyers) and technical assistance (historians, sociologists, anthropologists, and specialists in regional planning) to the affiliated acequias, helping them to defend their ancestral water rights during water adjudication suits in the courts. The NMAA also reviews pending legislation, represents the member associations in meetings with state and federal agencies, advocates for the acequias at the state legislature, monitors public expenditures for water projects, and participates in the regional and state water planning processes. Under the protection of collective organization, the *parciantes* initiate public campaigns defending their agrarian traditions while promoting their farm products such as organic crops and fruits irrigated with the pristine waters from the high sierras. Organic farming and the production of heirloom crops continue on the rise as the *parciantes* demonstrate the cultivation of locally grown food as a way of preserving a land-based culture and heritage while at the same time promoting sustainability of resources and local food security. Recently, a consortium of acequia farmers of the Chimayó Valley have revitalized the production of a native chile variety long known for its singular flavor and appeal, and marketed as "*Chile de Chimayó*." The founders of the Chimayó Chile Project intend to make the growing of this traditional chile profitable once again in direct competition with the commercial and hybrid varieties produced in the Hatch Valley of southern New Mexico and in more far away places such as China.²⁸

The *hispano* agrosystems continue to produce a wide range of crops of diverse origins from both the Old and New Worlds: Pueblo Indian and native land races for diverse field crops, and orchard fruits, vegetables, and some grains from Mediterranean Europe.²⁹ These

acequia products include: wheat, barley, oats, alfalfa and pasture grasses for livestock; and for human consumption, apples, pears, peaches, cherries, plums, apricots, nectarines, melons, chile, corn, white corn *chicos*, beans, *bolita beans*, squash, peas, garbanzos, *haba* beans, lentils, cabbage, lettuce, broccoli, tomatoes, cucumber, *calabacita Mexicana*, garlic, onion, *cilantro*, asparagus, potatoes, turnips, radish, carrots and more recently, artichokes.³⁰

To maintain their advantage in marketing of diverse agricultural products, the acequias actively support the maintenance of healthy watersheds in the forests and downstream valleys in order to maximize the supply of clean water, food fiber, forage, and biodiversity for plant and wildlife habitats.³¹ In many watersheds, the acequias are the first diverters of snowmelt water, making them aware of the stewardship responsibilities they hold on behalf of downstream users, whether other acequia communities, Pueblo Indian Tribes, or the cities and towns. Their gravity flow system of irrigation, with no fossil fuel inputs, helps to maintain water quality. The San Antonio de Padua village outside of Albuquerque on the eastern slope of the Sandía Mountains, for example, boasts that its *ojito de agua* (spring) produces the "cleanest water in New Mexico." Water from two natural springs has fed the *acequia madre* of the community since 1819 and still flows through an heirloom apple orchard on terraced land and into a wetlands pond for release further downstream past the old plaza into grassy fields where remnants of agricultural activity are evident. Today, this pure water is used to irrigate household garden plots and for domestic purposes; the acequia streams have been incorporated into an Open Space Preserve that serves as a wildlife sanctuary and a place for cultural and environmental education.³² The acequias are more than aware of the environmental benefits of gravity flow irrigation systems and their role as a keystone species, and in line with this belief, they have promoted and inspired research projects to study the ecosystem services of acequia farmland and how these goods and services can be evaluated to guide land use policies and decision-making. Their current and future plans include the careful management of their land and water resources to enhance the ecology and the biodiversity values of the acequia landscape within their function as stewards of the watershed resources.³³

Organizational activities are fueled at the local level with informational bulletins, promotional flyers, meetings and special reunions, as well as community celebrations such as the ritual blessing of the *ojito* at San Antonio de Padua that includes a mass and *matachines* procession from the parish church to the spring well location. For capacity building purposes, the statewide NMAA organizes workshops in acequia governance and management. In recent years, they have conducted training of mayordomos and *parciantes* regarding: the powers of acequias; by-law changes to enhance local control over applications for water transfers; water sharing and pooling agreements across acequias; dispute settlements by use of *hombres buenos* adapted from earlier times; the recording of easement and property rights; record keeping and financial management; conserving food traditions and seed banking for heirloom crops; the role of indigenous and local knowledge; organic farming techniques and marketing strategies; water banking, and a growing list of other educational topics. More recently, the NMAA initiated *Sembrando Semillas*, where youth of the acequia villages learn about the seasonal agricultural practices and farming traditions passed on to them by a select group of *parciantes* mentors: the youth clean

ditches, plant gardens and document the local knowledge of the communities where they live.³⁴

The future of the acequias may well reside in the manner and degree to which they are capable of maintaining their solidarity and collective action, and in the establishment of strategic alliances with the environmental movement, historical preservation associations, foundations, private corporations with a sense of social responsibility, the scientific community, ecotourism industries, local planning boards, and tribal governments. As an example, in August of 1999 the Board of County Commissioners of Río Arriba County imposed a moratorium on the subdivision of irrigated agricultural land. This provided the county planning department time to develop a comprehensive study of cultivated lands (in practice, mostly lands irrigated by acequias), and to consider policy changes for the protection of acequias, irrigated agricultural land, and the quality of life in Río Arriba. Planners did not limit their input sessions to public hearings for at-large interests, but they visited the micro watersheds in the county where they convened workshops with the local residents. Their goal was to empower the communities to plan for their agricultural resources in terms of recommended land use regulations. After nine months of public meetings and special workshops, coupled with the gathering and analysis of agricultural conditions data, the county amended land use regulations and adopted new subdivision and zoning ordinances for the protection of acequia farmlands while allowing some residential development in the form of cluster housing for family members.³⁵

The acequias support local and county ordinances to preserve agricultural lands. At the state level, they have succeeded in the lobbying for the adoption of other favorable legislation to enhance their powers and local control of acequia waters. In recent years, state statutes have been enacted following campaigns orchestrated by the regional and statewide acequia associations. One law passed by the state legislature in 2003 allows local acequias to form water banks where *parciante* water rights can be deposited and reallocated temporarily to other acequia members while permitting the original *parciante* to retain the individual water rights. This water bank allows the acequia and the irrigator to avoid forfeiture for non-use or abandonment and also facilitates the leasing of water rights for beneficial uses to augment water supplies for places of use served by the ditch. Pooling of these rights reinstates the customary practice of earlier times when acequia officials had the power to retain water rights in the local system and allocate to members for *repartimiento* or *auxilio* in times of scarcity or emergency aid. The modern statute grants authority to the community ditch to hold and distribute any water deposited in the water bank without formal proceedings before the State Engineer (New Mexico Statutes Annotated 1978/2003 Section 73-2-55-1).

A second new law also returns powers once held by local acequias and their officers. Since after the enactment of the New Mexico Water Code in 1907, only the State Engineer has held authority to allocate surface waters under a permit issue system, to include the review and approval of any proposals to change the point of diversion or place or purpose of use based on water transfer applications submitted by individual holders of water rights. Under this centralized application system, the acequias were limited to either support or oppose

the transfer in public hearings with testimony to support their positions. The State Engineer made the ultimate decision, and in the majority of cases approved the transfers, including transfers from the acequias for uses outside of the system such as for snowmaking at ski resorts. A new water transfer law adopted by the state legislature in 2003 returns local control to the acequia commissioners. If local acequias adopt a by-law specifying criteria and a process for transfer applications submitted to them by any of their members for changes in the use of a water right served by the acequia, they can now either approve or deny the transfers. Changes can be denied if the acequia commission concludes that the proposed application would be detrimental to the acequia or its members (New Mexico Statutes Annotated 1978/2003, Sections 73-2-21.E and 73-3-4.1)

CONCLUSIONS

The *acequias de común* of New Mexico have survived as transplanted civil and social institutions since Spanish colonial times maintaining continuity of a water culture despite changes over three sovereigns, Spain, Mexico, and the United States. The cohesion of the community of *parciantes*, a critical factor to survival of the acequias, has many cultural and political factors in its favor. The acequia culture is based on a reciprocal relationship between irrigation and community. The roots of the *hispano* irrigators in the lands of their ancestors motivate them to defend their water and acequias, and maintain their sense of place in the world. Their proverbial attachment to the land has been captured to perfection in the novel by John Nichols, *The Milagro Beanfield War*. By promoting their agrarian traditions, the *hispanos* of New Mexico and their neighbors in Colorado defend their "*país*," or homeland, and thus preserve their way of life and cultural identity.

In global terms, these Iberian origin systems share a common past with the water cultures of other continents. The word "acequia," derived from the phonetic transcription of the Arabic *al-saqiya* (water lifting device or an irrigation canal), represents an ancient tradition of water circulated in ditches by gravity flow, and in the larger context of world irrigation these community acequias embody a monument to the history of cultural transfer dating to pre-Muslim civilizations. In the harsh climates of the desert in North Africa, the Middle East, and in many other semi-arid regions, irrigated agrosystems were developed by mutual labor that domesticated flowing rivers with diversion structures built to capture water without compromising the environment. Over the millennia of time, hand crafted ditches were constructed out of the earth in order to store, transport and distribute water to the gardens and fields for food production and human sustenance. In regard to governance, the intangible side of cultural heritage, the acequias have relied on autonomous, democratic, and local institutional arrangements to operate and maintain the physical design of the canals and laterals.³⁶

The interchange of heritage with other agrarian communities around the world likely will promote the recognition of these unique hydraulic landscapes. Intercultural dialogue, collective action, and the sharing of social knowledge across the oceans once again may prove the critical factor for continuity of the culture of water. Like the Palmeral of Elche in southern Spain, a UNESCO designated World Heritage cultural landscape, the traditional

irrigation communities of New Mexico are unique agroecosystems with universal significance and should be protected for their tangible and intangible values as common property resources. The Palmeral of Elche offers a model on how to harmonize economic development and increase social welfare by preserving a superb and impressive cultural landscape, a valuable lesson for the rediscovery and future preservation of our human World Heritage.³⁷

Historian Thomas F. Glick has researched and compared the community irrigation systems at Elche, Murcia, and Valencia with those of the American Southwest. In his own summation, he makes a case for the protection of the acequia culture of New Mexico and southern Colorado as a viable development policy important to the indigenous rights of traditional peoples around the world and how this direction also recognizes their role as repositories of local knowledge about the environment and agricultural sustainability:

*El caso de las acequias de Nuevo México y Colorado ofrece asimismo lecciones de gran valía a escala mundial... La lucha por los derechos de los pueblos aborígenes debe dar cobertura a los pueblos que practican estilos de vida tradicionales, fundamentados en economías y tecnologías de base preindustrial. Las acequias nuevomexicanas y los campos por ellas regados constituyen un modelo admirable de agricultura sostenible —sobre la cual mucho se habla y poco se ha hecho—, cuyos practicantes son depositarios un legado de conocimiento intensivo acerca del medio local, que puede ser aplicado en beneficio de políticas de desarrollo verdaderamente sostenibles.*³⁸

END NOTES

¹ Meyer, 1984, p. 77

² Laws of the Indies, *Ordenanzas* of 1573

³ Wilson and Kammer, 1989

⁴ The Oasis Project, 2003

⁵ Lamadrid, 2006

⁶ *Ibid*

⁷ Peña, 1998, p. 243

⁸ *Ibid*, p. 252

⁹ Fernald, Baker and Guldan, 2007

¹⁰ Rivera and Glick, 2003

¹¹ Cordell, 1984, p. 190; Vivian, 1970, p. 69-74

- ¹² Vlasich, 2005, p. 4
- ¹³ Cordell, 1984, p. 190; Vivian, 1970, p. 69-72; Wozniak, 1996, p. 34
- ¹⁴ Vlasich, 2005, p. 6
- ¹⁵ Ibid., p. 5-7; Scurlock, 1998, p. 93
- ¹⁶ Vlasich, p. 14-16; Clark, 1987, p. 71
- ¹⁷ Hammond and Rey, 1966, p. 182
- ¹⁸ Wozniak, 1996, p. 34-35
- ¹⁹ Martínez, 1999; Martínez, 2004
- ²⁰ Martínez Saldaña, 1998; also, see José de Urrutia's map of the Villa de Santa Fe, c. 1767, in Rivera, 1998, p. 6.
- ²¹ Meyer, 1999
- ²² Pike, in Quife 1925, p. 152-153
- ²³ Glick, 1970
- ²⁴ Studley, 1865
- ²⁵ Rivera, 1998, p. 215
- ²⁶ New Mexico Acequia Association, 2000, p. 2
- ²⁷ Hicks and Peña, 2003
- ²⁸ Ross, 2006
- ²⁹ Peña, 1998, p. 242
- ³⁰ Peña, 1998, p. 256; Santistevan 2003, p. 54
- ³¹ New Mexico Acequia Association, 2000, p. 7
- ³² Monk, 1998, p. 6-7; Bernalillo County, 2005, pp. 8-9.
- ³³ New Mexico Acequia Association, 2002, p. 10
- ³⁴ New Mexico Acequia Association, *El Parciante*, 2006

³⁵ Rio Arriba Agricultural Conservation Study, 2000

³⁶ Martínez, 2008.

³⁷ Martínez, 1999; Martínez, 2004.

³⁸ Glick, "Presentación," in Rivera, 2009, p. 11.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- BERNALILLO COUNTY. **Resource Management Plan for Los Ojitos de San Antonio**. Draft May 31, 2005, posted at Bernalillo County Open Space Properties website: <http://www.bernco.gov>. Accessed April 1, 2009.
- CLARK, Ira G. **Water in New Mexico: A History of Its Management and Use**. Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press, 1987.
- CORDELL, Linda S. **Prehistory of the Southwest**. Orlando, Florida: Academic Press, 1984.
- FERNALD, Alexander G., BAKER, T. T. and GULDAN, S. J. "Hydrologic, Riparian, and Agroecosystem Functions of Traditional Acequia Irrigation Systems." *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*. 2007, Vol. 30 (2), p. 147-171.
- GLICK, Thomas F. **Irrigation and Society in Medieval Valencia**. Cambridge: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press, 1970.
- HAMMOND, George P. and REY, Agapito (eds). **The Rediscovery of New Mexico**, Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press, 1966.
- HICKS, Gregory A., and PEÑA, Devon G.. "Community Acequias in Colorado's Rio Culebra Watershed: A Customary Commons in the Domain of Prior Appropriation." *U. Colorado Law Review*. Spring 2003, Vol. 74 (2), p. 387-486.
- LAMDRID, Enrique. "Acequias in Practice and Spirit: A Sustainable Eco-Cultural Legacy." **Exhibit at El Rancho de las Golondrinas**, Santa Fe, NM, 2006.
- LAWS OF THE INDIES, "Ordenanzas de descubrimiento, nueva población de las Indias dadas por Felipe II en 1573." In CROUCH, D. P. et al. **Spanish City Planning in North America**. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press, 1982.
- MARTÍNEZ, Luis Pablo. **The Palmeral of Elche: A Cultural Landscape Inherited from Al-Andalus**. Direcció General de Promoció Cultural i Patrimoni Artístic, Generalitat Valenciana, 1999. Available at: <<http://www.cult.gva.es/palmeral/en.html>>
- MARTÍNEZ, Luis Pablo. "La cultura del agua, puente entre el viejo y el nuevo mundo", en **La representatividad en la Lista del Patrimonio Mundial. El patrimonio Cultural y Natural de Iberoamérica, Canadá y Estados Unidos: Memorias**. Santiago de Querétaro, Mexico, Diciembre 12-16, 2003 (Monuments and Sites, IX), México, CONACULTA-INAH, 2004, 123-129.
- MARTÍNEZ, Luis Pablo. "The Preservation and Promotion of the Cultural Heritage of Community Acequias." **ICCN Newsletter**, Vol. 1 (August 11, 2008). Available at: Inter-City Cooperation Network for Safeguarding the Intangible Cultural Heritage: <<http://www.iccn.or.kr/>>
- MARTÍNEZ SALDAÑA, Tomás. **La Diáspora Tlaxcalteca: Colonización agrícola del norte Mexicano**. Tlaxcala, México: Tlaxcallán, Ediciones del Gobierno del Estado de Tlaxcala, 1998.
- MEYER, Michael C. **Affidavit, October 20, 1999, Exhibit A**. In the United States Court for the District of New Mexico, State of New Mexico vs. Eduardo Abeyta, et al, CIV No. 7896 JC Rio Pueblo de Taos and CIV No. 7939 JC Rio Hondo Consolidated.

- _____. **Water in the Hispanic Southwest: A Social and Legal History, 1550-1850**. Tucson, AZ: University of Arizona Press, 1984.
- MONK, Dan. "A Cultural Landscape Study of Acequia Madre de San Antonio." Graduate student paper for Architecture 512, University of New Mexico, Fall 1998.
- NEW MEXICO ACEQUIA ASSOCIATION. "An Acequia Perspective on Critical Water Policy Issues for the State of New Mexico." **Testimony to the Water and Natural Resources Legislative Interim Committee**, New Mexico State Legislature, June 8, 2000.
- _____. "Agua, Cultura y Comunidad: Acequia Action Plan for 2003." *NMAA Congreso de las Acequias*, Annual Meeting, September 22, 2002.
- _____. "Sembrando Semillas: Acequia Youth Project." *El Parciante*. Summer 2006.
- NEW MEXICO STATUTES ANNOTATED. 1978(2003). Section 73-2-55-1; Sections 73-2-21.E and 73-3-4.1.
- PEÑA, Devon. "Cultural Landscapes and Biodiversity: The Ethnoecology of an Upper Río Grande Watershed Commons." In DE BACA, V. C. (ed). **La Gente: Hispano History and Life in Colorado**. Denver: Colorado Historical Society, 1998, p. 241-271.
- PIKE, Zebulon M. In QUAIFFE, Milo Milton (ed). **The Southwestern Expedition of Zebulon M. Pike**. Chicago: R. R. Donnelley & Sons, 1925, p. 152-153.
- RÍO ARRIBA Agricultural Conservation Study. Río Arriba County, NM: Board of County Commissioners. May 2000.
- RIVERA, José A. **Acequia Culture: Water, Land, and Community in the Southwest** Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press, 1998.
- RIVERA, José A. and GLICK, Thomas F. "Local Control and Discretionary Authority: Protecting the *Acequia Bordo*." Paper presented at the 51st *Congreso Internacional de Americanistas*, Santiago, Chile, July 14-18, 2003.
- RIVERA, José A., con traducción de Luis Pablo Martínez Sanmartín. **La Cultura de la Acequia: Agua, Tierra y Comunidad en el Suroeste de los Estados Unidos de América**. Valencia, España: Publicacions de la Universitat de Valencia, 2009.
- ROSS, Paul. "The Return of REAL Chimayó Chile." *Accent Albuquerque*. April 2006, Vol. 3 (4).
- SANTISTEVAN, Miguel A. **Trends in Maize Diversity of Northern New Mexico: A Regional Synopsis and Case Study in Acequia Agriculture**. Master of Science Thesis in Ecology. Davis, CA: University of California, 2003.
- SCURLOCK, Dan. **From the Rio to the Sierra: An Environmental History of the Middle Rio Grande Basin**. Fort Collins, CO: Rocky Mountain Research Station, U.S. Department of Agriculture, GTR-5, May 1998,
- STUDLEY, E. P. "Artículo I, Capítulo I, Acto de Julio 20 de 1851, Acequias, Acto de Enero 7 de 1852." In **Estatutos Revisados y Leyes del Territorio de Nuevo Méjico**. St. Louis, MO: E. P. Studley & Co., 1865.
- THE OASIS PROJECT: Historical Evolution of Adaptability in an Oasis Region to Water Resource Changes. 2003. **When Oases Dry Up**. Internet Source Homepage of the Research Institute for Humanity and Nature, Kyoto, Japan: <http://www.chikyu.ac.jp/oasis/index-e.html> (accessed July 28, 2006).
- VIVIAN, Gwinn R. "An Inquiry into Prehistoric Social Organization in Chaco Canyon, New Mexico." In LONGACRE, William A. (ed). **Reconstructing Prehistoric Pueblo Societies**. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press, 1970, p. 59-84
- VLASICH, James A. **Pueblo Indian Agriculture**. Albuquerque, NM: University of New Mexico Press, 2005.
- WILSON, Chris and KAMMER, David. **La Tierra Amarilla: Its History, Architecture and Cultural Landscape**. Santa Fe, NM: Museum of New Mexico Press, 1989.

WOSNIAK, Frank E. "Human Impacts on Riparian Ecosystems of the Middle Rio Grande Valley during Historic Times." In **Desired Future Conditions for Southwestern Riparian Ecosystems**. Ft. Collins, CO: United States Forest Service, RM-GTR-272, March 1996, p. 34-43.